



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
09/274, 015	3/22/99	EDMOND and CHRISTOPHER Herbert Cavallaro	114-145
		EXAMINER	
		PAUL KIM	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3729	6

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Kim (PTO) (3) Mr. Lester (app. rep.)
(2) Mr. Gravity (PTO) (4) Mr. Mulgrew (app. rep.)

Date of interview 3/30/00

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: cross sections of various golf balls to demonstrate technology.

Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1 and 15

Identification of prior art discussed: Melvin et al. and Cavallaro et al. '923 and Tanaka

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the background in golf ball art and the present invention. The claim will probably be amended to include "castable & reactive liquid material" in place of "thermoset". The examiner will review the art when ~~the~~ amendment is filed. At this time

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

~~no agreement was reached, question of obviousness will be evaluated. claim 15 regarding Tanaka ref. will be reviewed.~~

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.